



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	- CONFIRMATION NO
09/289,600	04/12/1999	AKIRA YAMAGUCHI	Q53967	8833
7:	590 12/03/2002			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200383202		LESPERANCE, JEAN E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

84

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
, t i	Advisory Action	09/289,600	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.				
	•	Examiner	Art Unit				
\		Jean E Lesperance	2674				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Theref final reconditi	REPLY FILED 18 November 2002 FAILS TO PLA fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appenation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply to a iich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
have bee 37 CFR (b) above	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). The period of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the period of extending is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THATE ON which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
	7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-34.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		$\Omega \Lambda$. Λ				
8.							
9. 🗌 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	all m				
	10. ☐ Other:						
		SUTETA TOO	RICHARD NUERPE MOORY FATERT EXAMINED MOOROGY EDITIER 2007				
S. Patent a	nd Trademark Office						





Continuation of 2. NOTE: In independent claims 1, 13, and 18, the limitation "wherein the series of cells of a respective picture element expressing the tones of multiple levels are spacially adjacent" is considered as new issues. Therefore the rejection is maintained.